

# **Practicing Molecular Simulations**

Lecture 3. Modeling interactions.





# **Potential Energy Surface (PES).**

### Fundamental to everything is the Schrödinger equation

$$H\Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$
nuclear coordinates  
electronic coordinates  
- wave function  $\Psi(R, r, t)$ 
- Hamiltonian operator  $H = K + U = -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \sum \nabla_i^2 + U$   
- time independent form :  $H\Psi = E\Psi$ 

#### Born-Oppenheimer approximation

- electrons relax very quickly compared to nuclear motions
- nuclei move in presence of potential energy obtained by solving electron distribution for fixed nuclear configuration

(it is still very difficult to solve for this energy routinely)

- usually nuclei are heavy enough to treat classically



### **Moving on Potential Energy Surface.**





Total pair energy breaks into a sum of terms:



### short range

interaction energy exponentially decays with molecular separation

at small intermolecular distance, an overlap of the molecular wave functions causes electronic exchange or repulsion

### non pair - additive

#### long range

interaction energy is proportional to some inverse power of molecular separation

- electrostatic: from static charge distribution (attractive or repulsive)
- induction: from distortion caused by molecular field of neighbors (always attractive)
- **dispersion**: from instantaneous fluctuations caused by electron movement (always attractive)

In theory, it is possible to calculate the intermolecular interactions from first principles *(ab initio)*. In practice, only for small systems.



### **General shape of potential energy**



Interatomic distance R



## **Dispersion (VdW) forces.**

- Short-range attractive (van der Waals) forces present for all types of molecules.
- Quantum mechanical origin (London 1930)

$$u_{dis}(r) = E_{tot} - E_a - E_b = -\frac{C_6}{r^6} - \frac{C_8}{r^8} - \frac{C_{10}}{r^{10}} \dots \approx -\frac{C_6}{r^6}$$

- They arise from fluctuations in the molecular
- charge cloud.
  - these produce a fluctuating dipole  $\mu_a(t)$ ,
  - which induced a dipole in a neighboring molecule b

$$u_{dis}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{b}E^{2} \approx -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{b}\mu_{a}^{2}(t)r^{-6} \approx -\frac{C_{6}}{r^{6}}$$

- expand electrostatic interaction in a multipole series.
- treat oscillating electron cloud as a simple harmonic oscillator.
- solve Schrödinger equation: it gives C<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>8</sub>, C<sub>10</sub>





- No simple theory exists.
- For simple molecules, can be approximated by

$$u_{overlap}(r) \approx A(r) e^{-Br}$$

inconvenient to use. often approximate by

$$u_{overlap}(r) \approx Ar^{-n}$$
 with  $n = 8$  to  $\infty$ 





### The hard & soft sphere potentials.

### Hard-sphere potential :

$$u_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } r_{ij} \leq \sigma \\ 0 & \text{for } r_{ij} > \sigma \end{cases}$$

 $\sigma$  = 2R = hard sphere diameter



- attractive interaction totally absent;
- cannot predict even qualitatively the properties of real fluids



### Soft-sphere potential :

$$u_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right) & \text{for } r_{ij} \leq \sigma \\ 0 & \text{for } r_{ij} > \sigma \end{cases}$$



- attractive interaction still absent;
- can predict some properties of real fluids (e.g., melting lines)

### Hard sphere + attractive therm potentials.

#### Square-well potential:

$$u_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } r_{ij} \leq \sigma \\ -\varepsilon & \text{for } \sigma < r_{ij} \leq \lambda \sigma \\ 0 & \text{for } r_{ij} > \lambda \sigma \end{cases}$$



 $\lambda \sigma$  – a multiple of sphere radius R  $\epsilon$  - measure of attractive interaction

More realistic modifications of square-well potential:



Shuterland potential:

$$u_{ij}(r) = \begin{array}{c} \infty \quad \text{for } r_{ij} \leq \sigma \\ -Cr_{ij}^{-6} \quad \text{for } r_{ij} > \sigma \end{array}$$

Yukawa potential:

$$u_{ij}(r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \infty & \text{for } r_{ij} \leq \sigma \\ -\frac{\varepsilon\sigma}{r_{ij}} \exp\left[-z\left(\frac{r_{ij}}{\sigma} - 1\right)\right] & \text{for } r_{ij} > \sigma \end{array} \right\}$$



### Lennard-Jones potential: repulsion+ dispersion.





# **Modifications of LJ potential.**

#### Hard-spheres LJ potential:



$$\begin{cases}
u_{ij} = 4\varepsilon \left[ 2\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{6} \right] + \varepsilon \\
\text{for } r \leq \sqrt[6]{2} \cdot \sigma \\
0 \text{ for } r > \sqrt[6]{2} \cdot \sigma
\end{cases}$$

#### Kihara truncated potential:

LJ potential is truncated at the distance d corresponding to *absolutely* impenetrable core of molecules



#### Egelstaff potential:

#### (better approximation of dispersion forces)

$$u_{ij}(r) = \varepsilon \left[ 2 \left( \frac{r_{\max}}{r} \right)^{12} - 2 \left( \frac{r_{\max}}{r} \right)^9 - \left( \frac{r_{\max}}{r} \right)^6 \right] \text{ for } r > d$$



After LJ, the most widely used form of a pairwise potential

1932 – the repulsion between atoms should have an exponential dependence on r, because of the electron waves function overlap:

$$u_{ij}(r) = A \exp(-Br) - \frac{C}{r^6}$$

the most widely used modification is

$$u_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } r_{ij} \leq \lambda \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \frac{\sigma}{\alpha}} \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\alpha} \exp\left[ -\alpha \left( 1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{r_m} \right) \right] - \left( \frac{r_m}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right\} & \text{for } r_{ij} > \lambda r_m \end{cases}$$





In general, the repulsion-dispersion interaction can be represented as

$$u_{REP}(r) = \gamma (1 + ar) \exp(a_0 + \frac{a_1}{r} + \frac{a_2}{r^2})$$

a<sub>i</sub>- constants, fitted using *ab initio* data (charge distribution on molecule)

$$u_{DISP}(r) = f(r) \left( \frac{C_6}{r^6} + \frac{C_8}{r^8} + \frac{C_{10}}{r^{10}} \right)$$

As the dispersion dominates at small distances, often we should introduce a damping function f(r) which artificially reduced the dispersion term





### **General requirements for potentials.**

Accuracy:

reproduce properties of interest as closely as possible (at present, the best semi-empirical potentials have greater accuracy than explicit solutions of Schrödinger equation)

### Transferability:

applicable to situations for which the potential function was not fit (different symmetry, charge state changes, variable temperature, transfer from bulk to surface, different compounds)

There is no real justification for transferability of potential between compounds!

Computational efficiency:

force calculations are generally the most time consuming part of a simulation:

#### Different applications may emphasize different aspects of potentials:

chemistry - accuracy (accurate reaction rates require very accurate barrier estimation) statistical mechanics - efficiency (many-body dynamics may result from simple potentials) biochemistry - all three (reasonable structures with approximate forces)



in principle, it is possible to calculate the intermolecular interactions from the first principles (*ab initio*), but in practice, it is too expensive to solve QM electronic energy for every nuclear configuration instead, we define energy using simple empirical formulas: "force fields" or "molecular mechanics"

Today, force fields constitute the only way to describe complex molecules (like polymers or proteins).

Force fields are usually written in terms of pairwise additive interatomic potentials.



The potential energy of N interacting particles can be evaluated as:





Any force field contains the necessary building blocks for the calculation of energy and force:

- a list of atom types
- a list of atomic charges
- rules for atom-types
- functional forms of the components of the energy expression
- parameters for the function terms
- rules for generating parameters that have not been explicitly defined (for some force fields)
- a defined way of assigning functional forms and parameters (for some force fields)



### Force fields.

There are several potentials "ready to use', which were optimized to model specific properties of matter.

- OPLS Optimized Potential for Liquid Simulations (contains 6-12 potentials and electrostatic terms)
- ECEPP Empirical Conformational Energy Program for Peptides (6-12 potentials + electrostatic terms + hydrogen bonding + torsion)
- AMBER Assisted Model Builder with Energy Refinement (6-12 potentials + electrostatic terms + hydrogen bonding + torsion + bond & angle terms)
- CHARMM Chemistry of Harvard Macromolecular Mechanics (6-12 potentials + electrostatic terms + torsion + bond & angle terms + out-of-plane bending ) no hydrogen bonding
- MM3 Molecular Mechanics (? the more complete)
- UFF Universal Force Field (potentials are determined from general rules based only on elements, their hybridization and connectivity)
- CFF Force Field based on quantum mechanics (it emphasizes the importance of cross-interactions)



### **Classical Force Fields.**

Simple, fixed algebraic form for every type of interaction. Variable parameters depend on types of atoms involved. Example: CHARMM<sup>\*</sup> force field:





### **Concept of superatom.**



Lucyna Firlej

### **Coarse graining procedure.**





### Numerical simulations: how?



Lucyna Firlej

# 2<sup>nd</sup> step: modeling CH<sub>4</sub> – CH<sub>4</sub> interaction.

We will work at temperatures 80, 110, 140 and 180 K. At these temperatures methane is rotating isotropically, and can be aproximated by a spherical superatom.

The CH<sub>4</sub> molecule is electrically neutral – no electrostatic component of interaction!

The interaction between two CH<sub>4</sub> superatoms will be modeled by LJ potential with  $\epsilon$  = 148 K,  $\sigma$  = 3.73 Å.

Our MC code will not calculate the  $CH_4 - CH_4$  interaction on the fly, but use the precalculated matrix  $(r_{ij}, E(r_{ij}))$ , where  $E(r_{ij})$  is expressed as the polynomial of  $r_{ij}$ .

To do: 1. read and understand the code spline.f

- 2. calculate and plot LJ potential for  $CH_4$   $CH_4$  interaction.
- 3. notion of cutoff: when the CH<sub>4</sub> CH<sub>4</sub> interaction becomes negligible?



### Numerical simulations: how?



## 3<sup>rd</sup> step: modeling CH<sub>4</sub> – pore wall interaction.



We need to calculate the interaction of  $CH_4$  molecule with the atoms in the pore wall (within cutoff distance)

#### Warning: Periodic Boundary Conditions (PBC) must be in place!

The simplest way to calculate the distribution of energy in any heterogeneous space is to divide this space in small cubes, and calculate the energy at each cube's corner. In such a way we obtain a grid (a matrix [x, y, z, E(x,y,z)] of energy of CH<sub>4</sub> adsorbed inside the pore

**Warning**: bilinear extrapolation should be used to calculate the interaction at any (x,y,z) location.



# 3<sup>rd</sup> step: modeling CH<sub>4</sub> – pore wall interaction.

To do:

1. Write the code to calculate the grid of  $CH_4$  superatom interaction inside the pore of width H 10 Å.

-  $\epsilon_{\rm CC}$  =28.2 K,  $\sigma_{\rm CC}$  = 3.4 Å

- mixing rules:  $\varepsilon_{CMet} = sqrt(\varepsilon_{CC} \cdot \varepsilon_{MetMet}), \sigma_{CMet} = (\sigma_{CC} + \sigma_{MetMet})/2$
- step of the grid  $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 0.2 \text{ Å}$
- 2. Plot (contour plot) the  $CH_4$  PES in the middle of the pore. Determine the corrugation of PES in the middle of the pore.
- 3. Write the code to calculate the map of minimum of  $CH_4$  energy inside the pore, and, for each point of the surface, the distance of this minimum from the pore wall. Again, it will be a map. Determine the corrugation of this minimum energy surface, and compare with that from the previous exercise.
- 4. Write the code that calculate the average interaction energy of  $CH_4$  with the pore wall, depending on the distance from the pore wall only.

