# New perspectives on the anti-D3-brane uplift

Simon Schreyer

Based on 2208.02826, 2212.07437 with Arthur Hebecker and Gerben Venken

Montpellier March 16 2023





Connect String Theory with real world, but

- Superstring theory: 10 dimensional theory
- · Real world: 4 dimensional and accelerated expanding





Connect String Theory with real world, but

- Superstring theory: 10 dimensional theory
- · Real world: 4 dimensional and accelerated expanding

#### How can this be consistent?

Compactify 10D space to 4D on small, compact space  $\checkmark$ 





Connect String Theory with real world, but

- Superstring theory: 10 dimensional theory
- · Real world: 4 dimensional and accelerated expanding

#### How can this be consistent?

- Compactify 10D space to 4D on small, compact space  $\checkmark$
- Vacuum of theory at positive energy, controlled ??
- $\Rightarrow$  Study possibilities to obtain positive cc from string theory





1 Compactification and moduli stabilisation

- 2 Anti-D3-brane uplift
- 3  $\alpha'^2$  corrections to KPV
- 4 Implications for pheno
- 5 New uplifting mechanism
- 6 Summary



- Additional six dimensions small and compact  $\Rightarrow$  escape detection
- Ansatz:  $\mathcal{M}_{10} = \mathcal{M}_{1,3} imes \mathcal{Y}$ , d $s^2 = \eta_{\mu
  u} \mathsf{d} x^\mu \mathsf{d} x^
  u + g_{mn} \mathsf{d} y^m \mathsf{d} y^n$



- Additional six dimensions small and compact  $\Rightarrow$  escape detection
- Ansatz:  $\mathcal{M}_{10} = \mathcal{M}_{1,3} imes \mathcal{Y}$ , d $s^2 = \eta_{\mu
  u} \mathsf{d} x^\mu \mathsf{d} x^
  u + g_{mn} \mathsf{d} y^m \mathsf{d} y^n$
- Expand fields  $\phi$  in eigenfunctions  $\psi_n$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ :  $\phi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(x)\psi_n(y)$
- +  $\phi_0$  massless,  $\phi_n$  massive KK modes
- 4d theory for  $\phi_n$  by integrating over  $\mathcal Y$



- Additional six dimensions small and compact  $\Rightarrow$  escape detection
- Ansatz:  $\mathcal{M}_{10}=\mathcal{M}_{1,3} imes\mathcal{Y}$ , d $s^2=\eta_{\mu
  u}\mathsf{d}x^\mu\mathsf{d}x^
  u+g_{mn}\mathsf{d}y^m\mathsf{d}y^n$
- Expand fields  $\phi$  in eigenfunctions  $\psi_n$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ :  $\phi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(x)\psi_n(y)$
- +  $\phi_0$  massless,  $\phi_n$  massive KK modes
- 4d theory for  $\phi_n$  by integrating over  $\mathcal Y$

**Example:** 5D theory of free scalar field  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times S^1$  with radius *R*:

- Ansatz:  $\varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) e^{iny/R}$
- Eom:  $0 = \Delta_5 \varphi = (\Delta_4 + \partial_y^2) \varphi = (\Delta_4 n^2/R^2) \varphi$ , mass  $m_n = n/R$



- Additional six dimensions small and compact  $\Rightarrow$  escape detection
- Ansatz:  $\mathcal{M}_{10}=\mathcal{M}_{1,3} imes\mathcal{Y}$ , d $s^2=\eta_{\mu
  u}\mathsf{d}x^\mu\mathsf{d}x^
  u+g_{mn}\mathsf{d}y^m\mathsf{d}y^n$
- Expand fields  $\phi$  in eigenfunctions  $\psi_n$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ :  $\phi(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(x)\psi_n(y)$
- +  $\phi_0$  massless,  $\phi_n$  massive KK modes
- 4d theory for  $\phi_n$  by integrating over  $\mathcal Y$

**Example:** 5D theory of free scalar field  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times S^1$  with radius *R*:

- Ansatz:  $\varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) e^{iny/R}$
- Eom:  $0 = \Delta_5 \varphi = (\Delta_4 + \partial_y^2) \varphi = (\Delta_4 n^2/R^2) \varphi$ , mass  $m_n = n/R$
- · Generalizes to compactifications on higher dim manifolds and all fields
- For 5d graviton: massless mode (modulus) of g<sub>55</sub> determines size of S<sup>1</sup>!



# Compactification in string theory

 ${\mathcal Y}$  typically Calabi-Yau manifold

- · Solve 10D vacuum eoms since Ricci flat
- Preserve supersymmetry



Figure: Illustration of a Calabi-Yau (by J. F. Colonna).



# Compactification in string theory

#### ${\mathcal Y}$ typically Calabi-Yau manifold

- · Solve 10D vacuum eoms since Ricci flat
- Preserve supersymmetry
- Typically many (\$\mathcal{O}\$(100) \$\mathcal{O}\$(1000)\$) moduli, determining volume of 4-cycles (Kahler moduli) and ratio of 3-cycle volumes (complex structure moduli)
- Problem: 4D theory has many moduli (massless scalars) mediating fifth forces



Figure: Illustration of a Calabi-Yau (by J. F. Colonna).



# Compactification in string theory

#### ${\mathcal Y}$ typically Calabi-Yau manifold

- · Solve 10D vacuum eoms since Ricci flat
- Preserve supersymmetry
- Typically many (\$\mathcal{O}\$(100) \$\mathcal{O}\$(1000)\$) moduli, determining volume of 4-cycles (Kahler moduli) and ratio of 3-cycle volumes (complex structure moduli)
- Problem: 4D theory has many moduli (massless scalars) mediating fifth forces



Figure: Illustration of a Calabi-Yau (by J. F. Colonna).

 $\Rightarrow$  Need to make moduli heavy by creating a scalar potential (moduli stabilisation)



#### Moduli stabilisation

- + Framework: type IIB string theory, effective  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity in 4D
- Scalar potential V,  $i, j = 0, \cdots, \#$ cs moduli,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, \#$ Kahler moduli

$$V = \mathbf{e}^{K} (K^{i\overline{j}}(D_{i}W)(D_{\overline{j}}\overline{W}) + K^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(D_{\alpha}W)(D_{\overline{\beta}}\overline{W}) - 3|W|^{2})$$

Kahler potential K, superpotential W



#### Moduli stabilisation

- + Framework: type IIB string theory, effective  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity in 4D
- Scalar potential V,  $i, j = 0, \cdots, \#$ cs moduli,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, \#$ Kahler moduli

$$V = \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{K}}(\mathsf{K}^{i\overline{\jmath}}(D_{i}W)(D_{\overline{\jmath}}\overline{W}) + \underbrace{\mathsf{K}^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(D_{\alpha}W)(D_{\overline{\beta}}\overline{W}) - 3|W|^{2}}_{=0 \text{ at tree level}})$$

Kahler potential K, superpotential W



#### Moduli stabilisation

- + Framework: type IIB string theory, effective  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity in 4D
- Scalar potential V,  $i, j = 0, \cdots, \#$ cs moduli,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, \#$ Kahler moduli

$$V = \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{K}}(\mathsf{K}^{i\overline{\jmath}}(D_{i}W)(D_{\overline{\jmath}}\overline{W}) + \underbrace{\mathsf{K}^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(D_{\alpha}W)(D_{\overline{\beta}}\overline{W}) - 3|W|^{2}}_{=0 \text{ at tree level}})$$

Kahler potential K, superpotential W

- Complex structure moduli stabilisation:  $V = e^{K} K^{i\bar{j}}(D_{i}W)(D_{\bar{j}}\overline{W})$ 
  - type IIB has 3-form flux G<sub>3</sub> living on 3-cycles
  - · Intuitively: fluxes prevent cycles from shrinking
  - $W = W(G_3)$ , solve  $D_i W = 0$



#### Kahler moduli stabilisation

- Complex structure moduli much heavier  $\Rightarrow$  integrate out
- At tree level:  $V = 0 \Rightarrow$  Introduce quantum corrections to stabilize Kahler moduli



#### Kahler moduli stabilisation

- Complex structure moduli much heavier  $\Rightarrow$  integrate out
- At tree level:  $V = 0 \Rightarrow$  Introduce quantum corrections to stabilize Kahler moduli
- Most famous examples: KKLT [Kachru et al '03] or LVS [Balasubramanian et al '05]
- General feature: Minimum of scalar potential at negative value  $V_{AdS} < 0$



#### Kahler moduli stabilisation

- Complex structure moduli much heavier  $\Rightarrow$  integrate out
- At tree level:  $V = 0 \Rightarrow$  Introduce quantum corrections to stabilize Kahler moduli
- Most famous examples: KKLT [Kachru et al '03] or LVS [Balasubramanian et al '05]
- General feature: Minimum of scalar potential at negative value  $V_{AdS} < 0$
- Minimum sets value of  $cc \Rightarrow$  no accelerated expanding universe!
- Need to add source of positive energy "uplift"



#### Anti-D3-brane uplift [Kachru et al 103]

- Anti-D3-brane contributes positive potential energy  $\delta V = 2 T_{D3}$
- Problem: Energy is too large  $\Rightarrow$  runaway
- Mechanism to control size of uplift: warped throats



Figure: Illustration by A. Hebecker.



#### Warped Klebanov-Strassler throat [Klebanov, Strassler '00, GKP'01]

- $\overline{D3}$  moves to tip of throat
- *M* units of *F*<sub>3</sub> flux on A-cycle
- K units of H<sub>3</sub> flux on B-cycle



Figure: Illustration of Calabi-Yau geometry admitting a warped throat region.



#### Warped Klebanov-Strassler throat [Klebanov, Strassler '00, GKP'01]

- $\overline{D3}$  moves to tip of throat
- *M* units of *F*<sub>3</sub> flux on A-cycle
- K units of H<sub>3</sub> flux on B-cycle
- $\overline{D3}$  at tip contributes  $\delta V = 2 T_{D3} \exp (4A(0))$
- Warp factor at tip:  $\exp(4A(0)) \sim \exp(-K/(g_sM))$



Figure: Illustration of Calabi-Yau geometry admitting a warped throat region.

 $\Rightarrow$  Tune A(0) such that  $|V_{AdS}| \approx \delta V$  becomes exponentially small



#### Physics at the tip of the throat [KPV 01]

• Tip: A-cycle is topologically  $S^3$  which is an  $S^1$  (parameterized by  $\psi$ ) fibred over  $S^2$ 



Figure: Brane-flux annihilation a la KPV.



#### Physics at the tip of the throat [KPV 01]

- Tip: A-cycle is topologically  $S^3$  which is an  $S^1$  (parameterized by  $\psi$ ) fibred over  $S^2$
- p anti-D3-branes puff up into single NS5-brane wrapping  $S^2$
- NS5 has non-trivial potential  $V_{\mathrm{KPV}}(\psi)$
- Depending on *p* and *M*: NS5 is metastable (suitable for uplift and  $\delta V = V_{\text{KPV}}(\psi_{\min}) \exp(4A(0))$ ) or NS5 classically unstable: can slip over equator, annihilate with flux and form a supersymmetric vacuum



Figure: Brane-flux annihilation a la KPV.



$$V_{\rm KPV}(\psi) = \frac{4\pi^2 \rho \mu_5}{g_s} + \frac{4\pi \mu_5 M}{g_s} \left( \sqrt{b_0^4 \sin^4(\psi) + \left(\frac{\pi \rho}{M} - \psi + \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right)^2} - \psi + \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2} \right)$$

- KPV analysis based on action at leading order in  $\alpha'$
- p/M < 0.08 for metastability, then  $\delta V = V_{\text{KPV}}(\psi_{\min}) \exp(4A(0))$
- $V_{\rm KPV}(\psi_{\rm min}) \sim 2 T_{\rm D3}$



Figure: Normalized NS5-brane potential for different p/M.



#### Why consider $\alpha'^2$ corrections?

- Metastability per se  $\Rightarrow \alpha'$  corrected version of KPV bound  ${\it p}/{\it M} < 0.08$
- Lower bound on  $g_s M^2$  important for pheno:



#### Why consider $\alpha'^2$ corrections?

- Metastability per se  $\Rightarrow \alpha'$  corrected version of KPV bound p/M < 0.08
- Lower bound on  $g_s M^2$  important for pheno:
  - Warp factor  $\exp(4A(0)) \sim \exp(-K/g_s M) \sim \exp(-KM/(g_s M^2))$  $\Rightarrow N \gg g_s M^2$
  - Problem tadpole cancellation: Net charge on compact space must vanish
  - Negative contribution to tadpole limited



#### Why consider $\alpha'^2$ corrections?

- Metastability per se  $\Rightarrow \alpha'$  corrected version of KPV bound p/M < 0.08
- Lower bound on  $g_s M^2$  important for pheno:
  - Warp factor  $\exp(4A(0)) \sim \exp(-K/g_s M) \sim \exp(-KM/(g_s M^2))$  $\Rightarrow N \gg g_s M^2$
  - Problem tadpole cancellation: Net charge on compact space must vanish
  - Negative contribution to tadpole limited
- KPV: p/M < 0.08 and  $g_s M > 1$  (control  $\alpha'$  corrections)  $\Rightarrow g_s M^2 > 12$
- $R_{S^3} \sim \sqrt{g_s M \alpha'}$ , curvature corrections suppressed by  $R_{S^3}$ Quantify control over  $\alpha'$  corrections by explicit calculation (metric known!)  $\Rightarrow$  more precise version for bound  $g_s M > 1$



## $\overline{\alpha'}$ corrections to D*p*-branes

- Many (not all!)  $\alpha'^2$  correction to D*p*-branes known [Bachas, Bain, Green '99, Garousi + Jalali, Karimi, Babaei Velni, Mir, Mashhadi '09-22, Robbins, Wang '14]
- Need corrections to NS5-brane  $\Rightarrow$  S-dualize ( $g_s \rightarrow 1/g_s$ ) corrections D5
- Could also work in S-dual KS throat with D5 [Gautason, Schillo, Van Riet '16]
- Specify here to DBI-action, see [Schreyer, Venken '22] for CS



Non-vanishing corrections to DBI-action of D5-brane, schematically:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\text{DBI,D5}} \supset \frac{\mu_5}{g_s} \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \mathrm{d}^6 x \sqrt{-(g+\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)} \bigg[ 1 + \alpha'^2 \bigg( (R+\Omega^2)^2 + H_3^4 + H_3^2 R \\ &+ \Omega^4 (\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)^2 + (\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)\Omega^2 \nabla H_3 \bigg) \bigg] \end{split}$$



Non-vanishing corrections to DBI-action of D5-brane, schematically:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\text{DBI,D5}} \supset \frac{\mu_5}{g_s} \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \mathrm{d}^6 x \sqrt{-(g+\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)} \bigg[ 1 + \alpha'^2 \bigg( (R+\Omega^2)^2 + H_3^4 + H_3^2 R \\ &+ \Omega^4 (\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)^2 + (\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)\Omega^2 \nabla H_3 \bigg) \bigg] \end{split}$$

S-dualized D5-brane action:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\text{DBI,NS5}} \supset \frac{\mu_5}{g_s^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \mathrm{d}^6 x \sqrt{-(g + \alpha' g_s \mathcal{F}_2)} \Bigg[ 1 + g_s^2 \alpha'^2 \Bigg( (R + \Omega^2)^2 + (-g_s \mathcal{F}_3)^4 \\ &+ (-g_s \mathcal{F}_3)^2 R + \Omega^4 (\alpha' g_s \mathcal{F}_2)^2 + (\alpha' g_s \mathcal{F}_2) \Omega^2 \nabla (-g_s \mathcal{F}_3) \Bigg) \Bigg] \,, \end{split}$$

Looks like 2-loop term! But know from comparing to  $\overline{D3}$  when shrinking  $S^2 \rightarrow 0$  that there must be tree level term in  $g_s$ .



Non-vanishing corrections to DBI-action of D5-brane, schematically:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\text{DBI,D5}} \supset \frac{\mu_5}{g_s} \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \mathrm{d}^6 x \sqrt{-(g+\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)} \bigg[ 1 + \alpha'^2 \bigg( (R+\Omega^2)^2 + H_3^4 + H_3^2 R \\ &+ \Omega^4 (\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)^2 + (\alpha'\mathcal{F}_2)\Omega^2 \nabla H_3 \bigg) \bigg] \end{split}$$

Proposed NS5-brane action:

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{DBI,NS5}} \supset & \frac{\mu_5}{g_s^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \mathrm{d}^6 x \sqrt{-(g + \alpha' g_s \mathcal{F}_2)} \Bigg[ 1 + (1 + g_s^2) \alpha'^2 \Bigg( (R + \Omega^2)^2 \\ & + (-g_s \mathcal{F}_3)^4 + (-g_s \mathcal{F}_3)^2 R + \Omega^4 (\alpha' g_s \mathcal{F}_2)^2 + (\alpha' g_s \mathcal{F}_2) \Omega^2 \nabla (-g_s \mathcal{F}_3) \Bigg) \Bigg] \end{split}$$

S-duality suggests a mapping of tree-level to two 2-loop for D5/NS5!



# $\alpha'^2$ corrected KPV potential $_{\rm [Schreyer, Venken '22]}$

Contribution to potential from DBI-terms

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}_{\text{DBI}} &= \frac{4\pi\mu_5 M}{g_s} \sqrt{b_0^4 \sin^4(\psi) + \left(\frac{\pi p}{M} - \psi + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\psi)\right)^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} \left( c_3 - c_1 \right. \\ &+ (c_4 - 2c_2)\cot^2\psi - c_2\cot^4\psi + \frac{c_5\cot^4\psi}{\sin^4\psi} \left(\frac{\pi p}{M} - \left(\psi - \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right)\right)^2 \right] \\ &- \frac{c_6\cot^3\psi}{\sin^2\psi} \left(\frac{\pi p}{M} - \left(\psi - \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

- $c_1, \cdots, c_6$  numerical constants, explicitly calculated
- Potential enjoys expansion in  $g_s M$  and p/M





Figure:  $\alpha'^2$  corrected KPV potential for  $g_s M = 20$ .

· KPV bound changes, minimum at positive, zero and negative energies





Figure:  $\alpha'^2$  corrected KPV potential for p/M = 0.01.

KPV bound changes, minimum at positive, zero and negative energies



- Blue region: no metastable minimum
- Yellow region: metastable minimum at positive value
- Orange region: metastable minimum at negative value
- Minimal bound on  $g_s M$ :  $g_s M > 3.6 \Rightarrow g_s M^2 > 144$  (compare to  $g_s M^2 > 12$  from KPV!)



Figure: Existence of metastable minimum in  $(g_s M, p/M)$  parameter space.



#### Where do we trust our potential?

•  $\mathcal{F}_2$  couplings special:  $g_s \mathcal{F}_2 \sim \frac{1}{\sin^2 \psi} \left( \frac{p}{M} - \psi + \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2} \right).$ For  $\psi \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ :  $g_s \mathcal{F}_2 \sim -(M-p)/M \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$  when  $p \ll M.$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Lose trust close to equator where we anyways expect decay into SUSY minimum





#### Where do we trust our potential?

•  $\mathcal{F}_2$  couplings special:

$$\begin{split} g_{s}\mathcal{F}_{2} &\sim \frac{1}{\sin^{2}\psi}\left(\frac{p}{M}-\psi+\frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right).\\ \text{For }\psi &\sim \mathcal{O}(1):\\ g_{s}\mathcal{F}_{2} &\sim -(M-p)/M \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ when }\\ p &\ll M. \end{split}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Lose trust close to equator where we anyways expect decay into SUSY minimum

- Higher order  $\alpha'$  suppressed  $\Rightarrow$  $R_{\rm NS5}^2 \sim g_s M \sin^2 \psi$  sufficiently large  $\Rightarrow$  Lose trust at small  $\psi$
- Weak coupling  $g_s < 1$  (green line)





#### Where do we trust our potential?

*F*<sub>2</sub> couplings special:

$$\begin{split} g_{s}\mathcal{F}_{2} &\sim \frac{1}{\sin^{2}\psi}\left(\frac{p}{M}-\psi+\frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right).\\ \text{For }\psi &\sim \mathcal{O}(1)\text{:}\\ g_{s}\mathcal{F}_{2} &\sim -(M-p)/M \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ when }\\ p \ll M. \end{split}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Lose trust close to equator where we anyways expect decay into SUSY minimum

- Higher order  $\alpha'$  suppressed  $\Rightarrow$  $R_{\rm NS5}^2 \sim g_s M \sin^2 \psi$  sufficiently large  $\Rightarrow$  Lose trust at small  $\psi$
- Weak coupling  $g_s < 1$  (green line)
- Divergencies may be cured by summing over all  $\alpha'$  corrections





## Implications for pheno

- Remember:
  - $|V_{\text{AdS}}| \approx \delta V = 2 T_{\text{D3}} \exp(4A(0)) \sim 2 T_{\text{D3}} \exp(-N/(g_s M^2))$ 
    - $\Rightarrow$  Require much more flux in throat than expected from tree level KPV
  - Tadpole cancellation: Need to cancel flux N in throat by negative contribution  $Q_3$ :  $|Q_3| > N$



## Implications for pheno

- Remember:
  - $|V_{\text{AdS}}| \approx \delta V = 2 T_{\text{D3}} \exp(4A(0)) \sim 2 T_{\text{D3}} \exp(-N/(g_s M^2))$ 
    - $\Rightarrow$  Require much more flux in throat than expected from tree level KPV
  - Tadpole cancellation: Need to cancel flux N in throat by negative contribution  $Q_3$ :  $|Q_3| > N$
- We quantified  $Q_{3,\min}$  for controlled dS vacua in the LVS with  $\overline{D3}$  uplift "LVS parametric tadpole constraint" [Gao, Hebecker, Schreyer, Venken '22]
- Result including bound on  $g_s M^2$  from  $\alpha'$  corrected KPV:  $|Q_{3,\min}| \sim O(10^3)$
- Seems very constraining since currently highest constructed  $|Q_{3,\min}|=\mathcal{O}(3000)$ , but higher tadpoles possible, but come with additional complications



- Understand  $\alpha'$  corrections as lowering effective tension of  $\overline{D3}$ 





- Understand  $\alpha'$  corrections as lowering effective tension of  $\overline{D3}$ 





- Understand  $\alpha'$  corrections as lowering effective tension of  $\overline{D3}$
- Tuning α' corrections by g<sub>s</sub>M, p/M tunes value of potential at metastable minimum!
  - $\Rightarrow$  No need to warp down  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{D3}}$ , as itself tunable to exponentially small value!

$$\Rightarrow \delta V = V(\psi_{\min})$$

• No large flux and large  $|Q_3|$  necessary





- Understand  $\alpha'$  corrections as lowering effective tension of  $\overline{D3}$
- Tuning α' corrections by g<sub>s</sub>M, p/M tunes value of potential at metastable minimum!
  - $\Rightarrow$  No need to warp down  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{D3}}$ , as itself tunable to exponentially small value!

$$\Rightarrow \delta V = V(\psi_{\min})$$

- No large flux and large  $|Q_3|$  necessary
- Problem: control over higher order  $\alpha'$  as  $g_s M \sin^2 \psi \approx 1$







- Improve control by calculating higher order  $\alpha'$  corrections
- Change perspective to non-abelian stack of  $\overline{D3}$ -branes



# Open questions

- Improve control by calculating higher order  $\alpha'$  corrections
- Change perspective to non-abelian stack of  $\overline{D3}$ -branes
- Holographic picture is controlled for  $g_{s}M\ll 1$  where  $\alpha'$  expansion breaks down

 $\Rightarrow$  Way of proving new uplifting mechanism by establishing metastable AdS vacuum for  $g_{s}M<1$ 

Interplay backreaction effects





- Reviewed principle problem of moduli stabilisation in string compactifications
- Reviewed anti-D3-brane uplift and KPV process





- Reviewed principle problem of moduli stabilisation in string compactifications
- Reviewed anti-D3-brane uplift and KPV process
- Calculated  $\alpha'$  corrections to KPV which are very constraining for standard  $\overline{D3}$  uplift  $\Rightarrow$  much higher values of  $g_s M^2$  and therefore much more flux needed
- · Proposed new uplifting mechanism circumventing constraints





- Reviewed principle problem of moduli stabilisation in string compactifications
- Reviewed anti-D3-brane uplift and KPV process
- Calculated  $\alpha'$  corrections to KPV which are very constraining for standard  $\overline{D3}$  uplift  $\Rightarrow$  much higher values of  $g_s M^2$  and therefore much more flux needed
- · Proposed new uplifting mechanism circumventing constraints
- Need to improve understanding at boundary of control (small *g<sub>s</sub>M* regime) by studying from different perspectives





- Reviewed principle problem of moduli stabilisation in string compactifications
- Reviewed anti-D3-brane uplift and KPV process
- Calculated  $\alpha'$  corrections to KPV which are very constraining for standard  $\overline{D3}$  uplift  $\Rightarrow$  much higher values of  $g_s M^2$  and therefore much more flux needed
- · Proposed new uplifting mechanism circumventing constraints
- Need to improve understanding at boundary of control (small *g<sub>s</sub>M* regime) by studying from different perspectives

# Thank you!



#### Flux prevents cycle from shrinking

- *p*-form fluxes: higher dim generalization of 2-form fluxes known from Maxwell
- In compact space: Live on *p*-cycles *c<sub>p</sub>*, take quantized values

$$\oint_{c_p} F_p = 2\pi n$$

**Example:** compact space is  $S_A^1 \times S_B^1$ 

- Can put one unit of 1-form flux on  $S_B^1$  1-cycle: i.e.  $\int {\rm d} y_B F_1 = 1$ , hence  $F_1 \sim 1/R_B$
- Contribution to action:

$$S \supset -\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \int \mathrm{d} y_A \mathrm{d} y_B F_1 \wedge *F_1 \sim -\int \mathrm{d}^4 x (R_A R_B) \cdot rac{1}{R_B^2} \sim -\int \mathrm{d}^4 x rac{R_A}{R_B}$$

- For  ${\it R}_{\it B} 
  ightarrow 0$ ,  ${\it V} 
  ightarrow \infty \Rightarrow$  flux prevents cycle from shrinking
- Putting fluxes on various cycles  $\Rightarrow$  tend to stabilize shape of manifold



# $\overline{\alpha'^2}$ corrected KPV potential

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}_{\text{tot}} &= \frac{4\pi\mu_5 M}{g_s} \sqrt{b_0^4 \sin^4(\psi) + \left(p\frac{\pi}{M} - \psi + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\psi)\right)^2} \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} \left(c_3 - c_1 + (c_4 - 2c_2)\cot^2\psi - c_2\cot^4\psi + \frac{c_5\cot^4\psi}{\sin^4\psi} \left(\frac{\pi p}{M} - \left(\psi - \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right)\right)^2\right] \\ &- \frac{c_6\cot^3\psi}{\sin^2\psi} \left(\frac{\pi p}{M} - \left(\psi - \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{4\pi^2 p\mu_5}{g_s} - \frac{4\pi\mu_5 M}{g_s} \left(\psi - \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2}\right)\right] \left(1 + \frac{c_7}{(g_s M)^2} + \frac{c_8\cot\psi}{(g_s M)^2\sin\psi}\right) \end{split}$$

